

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/032,826	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCNULTY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Leslie Wong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2164	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Leslie Wong.

(2) James D Ivey.

**Date of Interview:** 11 January 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
Claims discussed:  
1, 12, and 23  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to suggest the incorporation of claim 3 into 1, 14 into 12, and 25 into 23 to further define the claimed invention. The representative agreed to do so and authorized the Examiner to enter the proposed amendments via an Examiner's amendment.